

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to better define the claimed invention and better distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art, particularly in view of the Examiner's remarks in the Advisory Action mailed March 11, 2005.

More particularly, independent claim 1, as amended, requires "a notifying / instructing unit used to make an instruction continuously notify a user via said voice section of said handset that an awaiting state is kept at a time of a response to an incoming call until a voice of a party placing said call is heard through said voice receiving section of said handset." Independent claim 9 contains a similar feature.

Peterson et al. fails to teach notifying the user of an awaiting state because Peterson et al. does not teach an awaiting state. An awaiting state is the period between when an off-hook signal is detected by the control section and when a user is able to hear the voice of the caller. During the awaiting state, the telephone set is preparing to transmit a voice signal but is incapable of transmitting a voice signal to the handset or speaker at that time. Peterson et al. teaches a call answering / call waiting system. At no point after receiving the call is Peterson's device not capable of transmitting the call to the user and thus no awaiting state exists. Stated another way, Peterson et al. does teach delaying the sending of the call to the user, e.g. to allow for call screening but this function is completely different from the function of the notifying/instruction unit of Applicant's claims.

Furthermore, Peterson et al. does not teach continuously notifying a user. Peterson et al. teaches generating a short audible tone prior to the caller's self-announcement but not a continuous instruction (Column 16, lines 9-15).

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.
130 W. CUSHING STREET
TUCSON, AZ 85701
TEL. 520.882.7623
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101
TEL. 603.668.1400
FAX. 603.668.8567

Thus, Peterson et al. does not anticipate nor render obvious independent claim 1 or 9.


Claims 2-6, and 10-15 depend directly or indirectly on claims 1 or 9, respectively, and are allowable for the same reasons as stated above, as well as for their own additional limitations.

Turning to the previous rejection of claims 8 and 16 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al. in view of Kung et al. (US Patent 6,633,635) also is in error. Claims 8 and 16 depend on claims 1 and 9, respectively. The deficiencies of Peterson et al. are discussed above vis-à-vis the rejection of claims 1 and 9. Kung et al. does not provide the missing teachings. Kung et al. relates to a call manager that manages multiple calls received by a subscriber (Abstract). Nowhere does Kung et al. teach continuously notifying a user of an awaiting state. Thus, no combination of Peterson et al. and Kung et al. could achieve or render obvious claims 1 and 9 or claims 8 and 16 which depend thereon.

Having dealt with all the objections raised by the Examiner, the Application is believed to be in order for allowance. Early and favorable action are respectfully requested.

In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them (or credit any overpayment) to our Deposit Account Number 08-1391.

Respectfully submitted,



Norman P. Soloway
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 24,315

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.
130 W. CUSHING STREET
TUCSON, AZ 85701
TEL. 520.882.7623
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101
TEL. 603.668.1400
FAX. 603.668.8567

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
April 4, 2005, at Tucson, Arizona.

By Sharon McKniff

NPS/WPO:NPS:sm

HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.
130 W. CUSHING STREET
TUCSON, AZ 85701
TEL. 520.882.7623
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET
MANCHESTER, NH 03101
TEL. 603.668.1400
FAX. 603.668.8567